Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/01 : CIA-RDP89B01330R000400750004-8	
	25 X ′
The Director of Central Intelligence	
Washington, D.C 20505	
Critical Intelligence Problems Committee	
DCI/ICS 86-3784 3 September 1986	
MEMORANDUM FOR: CIA	25X′
Maj. David Berns, Army	25 X 1
Capt. Robert Vosilus, Navy LTC Richard O'Neil, Air Force Maj. Mike Maxie, USMC	
, HUMINT Committee COMIREX	25X′
Dr. Albert R. Miller, ASD(C ³ I) Mr. Joseph Pasquariello, DCA	
FROM: Chairman, CIPC Study of Intelligence as a Force Multiplier: NFIP Support to US Military Forces	
SUBJECT: Results of 26 August Working Group Meeting and Next Steps	
 Herewith the results of the subject meeting for your review and comment as appropriate. N.b., please, the target dates for the various contributions mentioned in the attachment. 	
2. The next meeting of the Working Group will be on	
Tuesday, 30 September, 1000-1330, Room 6NO2, CHB.	
3. Please let know who will be respresenting your agency if you can't make the meeting.	25 X 1
	25X1
SECRET	
>==================================	

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/01 : CIA-RDP89B01330R000400750004-8	25X1
SUBJECT: Results of 26 August Working Group Meeting and Next Steps	
Distribution: ICS/DCI 86-3784	
- ES/CIPC - CIPC/ - CIPC/ - CIPC/Subj - CIPC/Chrono	25X1
1 - ICS/PBS	25 X 1
- SIGINT Committee ICS/PPS -	25X1 25X1
l - P. Torelli, DCA l - NSA l - ICS Registry	25 X 1
DCI/ICS/CIPC 29 Aug 86)	25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1 25X1

Intelligence as a Force Multiplier: NFIP Support to US Military Forces Results of Meeting of the CIPC Working Group, 26 August 1986

Proceedings

- 1. The View from the Top. The group (see Appendix 1 for a list of attendees) was informed about the guidance received earlier in the day by the Vice Chairman, CIPC about the study. In keeping with the DCI's original request for the study, the Vice Chairman reported that the study will be used in connection with the DCI's budgetary planning, development, and review. He also noted that it should be much shorter than recent studies of its kind.
- 3. <u>Timing</u>. Because of the time needed to process the results of the questionnaire, <u>inter alia</u>, there is concern that the TOR's target date cannot be met. To proceed as expeditiously as possibly, some of the plan's various tasks will be accomplished more or less independently.
 - 4. Chairman's Notes. The following items have been received:

	,	t points include	ontact"	TOF	various	aspects	01	the
Study	• Hey	merade		-	•	_		

-- Ltr from DCA Asst Director C4S. Identifies Bob Schaffer and Dr. Paul Torelli as DCA's representatives during his absence. Notes that the study is "an excellent opportunity for better coupling and mutual support" between C3 and I planning activities.

SECRET 25X1

	Draft SIGINT Contribution. Furnished by NSA, and responsive to D-1 of the study's outline/mehtodology.	
,	Draft IMINT Contribution. Furnished by COMIREX, and responsive to Section D-2 of the study's outline/methodology.	
	Letter from Director of Intelligence, USMC. Provides concurrence in the DIA's implementation plan.	
	Global Assessment Analyses. Several lengthy volumes furnished by the DCA. ICS, is reviewing from the standpoint of intelligence gaps.	
Next Step	<u>s</u>	
unies	s an earlier meeting is requested by a Working Group member, the nex	\ L
neeting of 1986, contribut	f the Working Group will be held 1000-1330, Tuesday, 30 September for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various ions.	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various	
1986,	for the purpose of reviewing progress on the various ions.	

SECRET

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for F	Release 2011/12/01: CIA-RDP89B01330R000400750004-8	
	SECRET	25X1

Appendix 1

Intelligence as a Force Multiplier: NFIP Support to US Military Forces Meeting of the CIPC Working Group, 26 August 1986

Attendees

Name	<u>Organization</u>	Telephone Number	
Robert J. Schaffer David G. Berns LtCol Michael J. Maxie Capt Bob Vosilus	ICS/CIPC DCA ARMY HQ, USMC(INT) NAVY (OP-009P2)		25 X 1;1
Al Miller	NSA OSD/C ³ I		25 X 1
	ICS/COMIREX		25 X 1
Paul Torelli	DCA/C4S DIA		25X1
otes: CIA, or	n leave. Force POC.	was representing MAJ Cheryl	25 X 1

25X1

CIPC FORCE MULTIPLIER STUDY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

<u>Purpose</u>: Review and develop the concept of intelligence as a force multiplier, concentrating on the role of the NFIP in enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of U.S. military forces for theater warfighting.

<u> 60al</u>:

- -- Identify shortfalls in support to theater military operations.
- --Develop and prioritize recommendations that would enable NFIP to increase support to theater military operations.

Assumptions:

- 1. All force multiplier study working group members will support Service/Agency responsible for leading a segment of the effort with input if requested telephonically.
- 2. All first draft inputs to CIPC will be concise and previously coordinated among the working group members by the Service/Agency responsible for leading that segment.
- 3. Lead element for each segment is responsible for ensuring that CIPC and all working group members have a copy of their fully coordinated segment within the proposed timelines.

Preliminaries:

- 1. Approval of this study outline by the working group members. (LEAD: CIPC). (Implementation plan was approved 26 August 86).
- 2. For ease of drafting and coordination, each Service/Agency should consider including in its POC list submission to CIPC points of contact in each element which will be playing a key role in the study. For example, DIA would submit the name of its POC for all-source analysis who will lead Task four, Step one and its NFIP programmer who would lead Task five, Step one and would be interested in names of specific . Intelligence Community colleagues who will contribute and/or coordinate on those sections. Input is due to CIPC at the time of approval of this outline. (LEAD: CIPC).
- 3. Provide each working group member a list with names of the Service/Agency points of contact for writing and coordinating the study. The list should include secure and nonsecure phone numbers, building and room numbers, best addresses (including DACOM) to quickly receive draft sections from drafters for coordination. {LEAD: CIPE}.

0

---SECRET

25X1

TASK Off: Define Force Multiplier and Theater Warfighting (3 pages) (to be completed 6 weeks after working group approval of implementation plan) (7 October 1986)

- Define the term "force multiplier" using Service/JCS doctrine.
 Include types of intelligence that can be a force multiplier (counter-intelligence, situation development, targeting, electronic warfare, etc.). As possible, include the issues of:
- -- Fighting outnumbered: the perceptions of the Services/JCS on the role of intelligence in situations where the enemy has numerically superior forces.
- -- Importance of timely and accurate intelligence to success in theater military operations.
- --Views concerning force multiplication by various senior military leaders, such as the DCI; DR, DIA; and U&S Commanders.
 - -- (LEAD: ARMY).
- 2. Document previous examples of intelligence as a force multiplier. Include examples where all-source (HUMINT, SIGINT, IMINT, and MASINT) intelligence support enhanced military forces' capabilities (4 pages). (LEAD: CIA).
- 3. Provide review of work done on force multiplication by other committees and working groups (2 pages, if applicable). (LEAD: DCA).
- 4. Define theater warfighting. Incorporate inputs from J-3, J-5, and the Commands, as appropriate (up to 2 pages). (LEAD: MC).

TASK TWO: Define Military Operations and Intelligence Programs and Functions (to be completed 6 weeks after working group approval of implementation plan) (7 October 1986)

- 1. Define military operations. Identify and define military mission areas (plan, equip, train, fight, reconstitute, etc.) and subareas at theater level (chart). Select which mission areas/subareas will be further studied under Task Four and highlight on chart. Include input from the Commands, as appropriate (2-3 pages/chart). (LEAD: NAVY). (Only Services, DIA, and ASD/C³I coordination required).
- 2. Identify intelligence programs which support military operations (NFIP, TIARA, other) and elements of these programs (GDIP, TCP, etc.) (chart). (LEAD: DIA).
- 3. Define types of intelligence functions: I&W, S&T, data bases, tar ting, etc. (chart). (LEAD: AIR FORCE).

TASK THREE: Define Intelligence Support (to be completed 2 weeks after fully coordinated Task Two results are provided to working group)

1. Describe how intelligence (collection, processing, analysis, dissemination) supports theater military operations (2 pages). (LEAD: DIA).

TASK FOUR: NFIP Support

(to be completed 12 weeks after fully coordinated Task One.
Two, and Three results are provided to working group) (end December 1986)

- 1. Assess the intelligence disciplines' (e.g. HUMINT, SIGINT) contribution to intelligence functions by NFIP program (charts). (LEAD: DIA).
- 2. Array the discipline contributions against the mission area(s) to develop intelligence factors (charts). Develop a questionnaire to accomplish this step. (LEAD: DIA).
- 3. Review D-WIP intelligence requirements of U&S Commands. Identify those requirements that are related to the mission areas selected for further analysis in the study (chart). (LEAD: DIA).

TASK FIVE: NFIP Analysis

(to be completed 4 weeks after fully coordinated Task Four results are provided to working group)

- 1. Overview of current NFIP support to theater military operations. Incorporate discipline inputs. (LEAD: DIA).
- 2. Assess the identified D-WIP requirements against the intelligence factors (chart). (LEAD: DIA).
- Prioritize and evaluate the potential contributions (chart).
 (LEAO: CIPC)

TASK SIX: Key Issues • (4 pages)
(to be completed 4 weeks after fully coordinated Task Five results are provided to working group)

- 1. Develop key issues/gaps for improving NFIP support to theater military operations (such as availability of comms, streamlined tasking mechanisms). (OPR: CIPC)
- 2. Summarize assumptions and study limitations, including years covered (OPR: $C^{3}I$).

25X1

SECKET